

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,182	09/09/2004	Amd Ritz	DE 020066	1800
24737	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS II P.O. BOX 3		ERTY & STANDARDS	MACCHIARO	DLO, PETER J
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2879	
	DATE MAILED: 07/11/200			6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_		10/507,182	RITZ ET AL.	
Of	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Peter J. Macchiarolo	2879	
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app ly	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	
A SHORTEI WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period fc - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period by y within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute vived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicated (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)☐ This a	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u> ection is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This this application is in condition for allowa	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merit	s is
	d in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of	Claims			
4a) Of 5)⊡: Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)⊡ Claim	(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are withdraw (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1-17 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Pa	pers			
10)∭:The dr Applica Replac	pecification is objected to by the Examine awing(s) filed on is/are: a) account may not request that any objection to the cement drawing sheet(s) including the correct ath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
! :				
1) Notice of Ref 2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The reply filed on 06/28/2006 consists of changes to the claims and remarks related to the prior rejection of claims in the previous Office Action. The above have been entered and considered. However, pending claims 1-17 are not allowable as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by previously cited Parham et al (USPN 5676579; "Parham").

Regarding claim 1, Parham discloses in figures 14 and 16, a reflector lamp with a light source (fig. 14; 260) contained within a hollow discharge vessel (292) having an extended tail end (not labeled tail extending from 264 in fig. 15), a main reflector (inside surface of 252c), a neck portion (extension of base portion 252a not labeled) extending from said main reflector (inside surface of 252c), and at least one primary reflector (coating 290) which is configured to provide a reflection through the light source (260) onto the main reflector (inside surface of 252c) of those light portions (rays not labeled) originating from the light source which propagate in the direction of optically inactivated regions (inside reflecting surface of neck) of the main reflector (inside surface of 252c)

Application/Control Number: 10/507,182

Art Unit: 2879

obscured by other objects (276), wherein the at least one primary reflector (290) covers a portion

Page 3

(an end portion) of the hollow discharge vessel (292) but does not substantially cover the tail end

(not labeled tail extending from 264 in fig. 15), and wherein the tail end (not labeled tail

extending from 264 in fig. 15) extends in the direction of the optically inactivated regions (inside

reflecting surface of neck toward 280) of the main reflector (inside surface of 252c).

Regarding claim 2, Parham discloses in figure 14 said optically inactivated regions

(inside reflecting surface of neck) are formed by a through passage (not labeled, see col. 8, 11. 45-

51) in the main reflector (inside surface of 252c) that is provided for a lamp (250) comprising the

light source (260).

Regarding claim 3, Parham discloses in figure 14 said objects (267) are other means

provided for activating and/or operating the light source.

Regarding claim 4, Parham discloses in figure 14 and the abstract that the primary

reflector (290) is formed by an optically reflecting coating which is provided on a surface of a

lamp (254) comprising the light source (260).

Regarding claim 5, Parham discloses in the abstract the optically reflecting coating (290)

is formed by a metal layer or by a plurality of dielectric layers or dichroic filters (tantala and

silica).

: i

;

į

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 7, Parham discloses in figure 14 the reflector lamp further comprises a reflector body (entire assembly 250) with a reflector portion (252c) supporting the main reflector (inside surface of 252c) said neck portion (extension of base portion 252a not labeled) being configured for introducing a lamp (254) comprising the light source (260).

Regarding claim 8, Parham discloses in figure 14 the light source (260) is an arc discharge in a high-pressure gas discharge lamp (see col. 3 ll. 29-32).

Regarding claim 9, the Examiner notes that the preamble recites that the reflector lamp is used in a projection system. This is an intended use type preamble, since it merely recites the intended use of a reflector lamp. Where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone, the preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). In this case, the preamble has been considered, however is not patentable over Parham since the reflector lamp can be used in a projection system.

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parham in view of previously cited Davenport et al (USPN 5675677; "Davenport").

Regarding claim 6, Parham discloses in figure 14 the lamp further comprises a reflector body (250) with a reflector portion (252c) supporting the main reflector (inside portion of 252c), said neck portion (extension of base portion 252a not labeled) being configured for introducing a lamp (254) comprising the light source (see col. 8, ll. 45-51).

Parham does not disclose in the embodiment of figure 14 that the geometric continuation of the main reflector (inside portion of 252c) passing through a burner (not labeled portion of lamp 254 which produces light) of the lamp (254).

However, Davenport shows in figure 1a that it is possible to manufacture a lamp with a main reflector (130), the light source having a primary reflector (116), wherein the geometric continuation of the main reflector (130) will pass through the burner (not labeled) of the lamp (114), and this configuration will allow for a more compact lamp.

Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the lamp of Parham with the geometric continuation of the main reflector will passing through the burner of the lamp to reduce the overall length of the main reflector and neck lamp portion thereby the entire lamp

:

Application/Control Number: 10/507,182

Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

assembly more compact, thereby fitting into specific platforms and meeting specific market demands.

Claims 10-12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parham in view of previously cited Eggink et al (USPN 5646473; "Eggink").

Regarding claim 10, Parham discloses in figures 14 and 16, a lamp comprising a substantially ellipsoid-shaped discharge vessel (fig. 14; 258) configured to sustain a discharge; a vessel reflector (fig. 15; 290) at least partially covering said ellipsoid-shaped discharge vessel (258); a reflection portion (252b) covered with a further reflector (inside surface of 252b); and a neck portion (extension of base portion 252a not labeled) extending from said reflection portion (252b).

The Examiner notes that figure 14 of Parham is not drawn to scale and the ellipsoid-shaped discharge vessel may indeed be in the neck portion. But, this is not clear and Parham does not expressly disclose this configuration.

However, Eggink teaches in figure 1 a lamp with a discharge vessel can be located within said neck portion which allows for a more compact lamp assembly which can fit into more platforms.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the lamp of Parham with at least a portion of said discharge vessel being located within said neck portion, to reduce the overall length of the reflection and neck portions, thereby making the entire lamp assembly more compact, thereby fitting into specific platforms and meeting specific market demands.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 11, Parham shows in figure 14, at least a portion of the vessel reflector (290) is located within said neck portion (extension of base portion 252a not labeled).

Regarding claim 12, Parham is silent to a geometric continuation of the main reflector passing through the discharge vessel.

However, Eggink teaches this configuration at figure 1, which allows for a more compact lamp assembly which can fit into more platforms.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a geometric continuation of the main reflector passing through the discharge vessel to allow for a more compact lamp assembly which can fit into more platforms.

Regarding claim 16, Parham shows in figure 14, the substantially ellipsoid-shaped discharge vessel (fig. 14; 258) comprises an extended tail end (not labeled tail extending from 264 in fig. 15), wherein the vessel reflector (290) covers a portion of the substantially ellipsoid-shaped discharge vessel (258) but does not substantially cover the tail end (not labeled tail extending from 264 in fig. 15), and wherein the tail end (not labeled tail extending from 264 in fig. 15) extends in a direction of optically inactivated regions (inside reflecting surface of neck toward 280) of the further reflector (inside surface of 252b).

Claims 13-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being as being unpatentable over Eggink in view of Parham.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,182

Regarding claim 13, Eggink shows in figure 1 a lamp (not labeled) comprising an envelope (42) configured to include a light source (4); an envelope reflector (7) at least partially covering said envelope (42); a reflection portion (1) covered with a further reflector (19); and a neck portion (12) extending from said reflection portion (1); wherein at least a portion of the envelope (42) is located within said neck portion (12).

Eggink is silent to the discharge vessel being substantially ellipsoid-shaped.

However, Parham teaches in col. 12 ll. 23-31 having an ellipsoid-shaped light source with envelope reflector allows for the tuning of directed light into a desired beam pattern.

Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Eggink with an ellipsoid-shaped envelope to allow for the tuning of directed light into a desired beam pattern.

Regarding claim 14, Eggink shows in figure 1, at least a portion of the envelope reflector (7) is located within said neck portion (12).

Regarding claim 15, Eggink shows in figure 1, a geometric continuation of the further reflector (19) passes through the envelope (42).

Regarding claim 17, Eggink shows in figure 1, the envelope (42) comprises an extended tail end (41), wherein the vessel reflector (7) covers a portion of the envelope (42) but does not substantially cover the tail end (41), and wherein the tail end (41) extends in a direction of optically inactivated regions (inside surface of neck toward 44) of the further reflector (19).

Eggink is silent to the discharge vessel being substantially ellipsoid-shaped.

However, as discussed above, Parham teaches in col. 12 II. 23-31 having an ellipsoid-shaped light source with envelope reflector allows for the tuning of directed light into a desired beam pattern.

Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Eggink with an ellipsoid-shaped envelope to allow for the tuning of directed light into a desired beam pattern.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First, Applicant alleges on page 9, second paragraph, that Parham does not show the discharge vessel (292) extends into a neck area of the reflector (252). The Examiner asserts that this limitation is not recited in claim 1 and is therefore moot. It appears Applicant argues that the tail end of Parham does not extend in the direction of the optically inactivated regions of the main reflector. However, as can be clearly seen in figures 14 and 15, and which has been discussed above at the rejection of claim 1, wherein the tail end (not labeled tail extending from 264 in fig. 15) extends in the direction of the optically inactivated regions (inside reflecting surface of neck) of the main reflector (inside surface of 252c).

Second, Applicant alleges on page 11, first paragraph, that neither Parham nor Eggink disclose or suggests wherein at least a portion of the substantially ellipsoid-shaped discharge vessel is located within said neck portion. However, as discussed above at rejected claims 10 and 13, Parham teaches the benefits of an ellipsoid-shaped discharge vessel while Eggink teaches

Art Unit: 2879

the benefits of the discharge vessel being within the neck. To reiterate, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references to arrive at Applicant's invention to allow for a compact lamp assembly with accurate tuning of the light pattern.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Macchiarolo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2375.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800